

Bibliography Ethics of Data Science

This is a partial bibliography of potential required and suggested reading material.

- Angwin, J., Larson, J., Mattu, S., & Kirchner, L. (2016, May 23). Machine Bias: There's Software Used Across the Country to Predict Future Criminals. And it's Biased Against Blacks. <https://www.propublica.org/article/machine-bias-risk-assessments-in-criminal-sentencing>
- AOIR Association of Internet Researchers Code of ethics <https://aoir.org/ethics> InfoGraphic https://aoir.org/aoir_ethics_graphic_2016/
- Aristotle, & Ross, W. D. (1963). The Nicomachean ethics of Aristotle - The Internet Classics Archive. London: Oxford Univ. Press. <http://classics.mit.edu/Aristotle/nicomachaen.html>
- Bernstein, M., & Hooper, C. (2018). A Villain's Guide To Social Media And Web Science. 29th on Hypertext and Social Media - HT '18 (pp. 246–250). doi:10.1145/3209542.3210576
- Bivens, R. (2015). The gender binary will not be deprogrammed: Ten years of coding gender on Facebook. *New Media & Society*, 1461444815621527. doi:10.1177/1461444815621527
- Bowker, G. C., & Star, S. L. (2000). Invisible Mediators of Action: Classification and the Ubiquity of Standards. *Mind, Culture, and Activity*, 7(1–2), 147–163. doi:10.1080/10749039.2000.9677652
- Boyd, danah. (2016). Untangling research and practice: What Facebooks “emotional contagion” study teaches us. *Research Ethics*, 12(1), 4–13. doi:10.1177/1747016115583379
- Buolamwini, J., & Gebru, T. (2018). Gender Shades: Intersectional Accuracy Disparities in Commercial Gender Classification. *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, 81, 77–91.
- Byron, Carlene (2018, March 25) When Facebook Sent the Cops to My Shelter. <https://www.madinamerica.com/2018/03/when-facebook-sent-the-cops-to-my-shelter/>
- Carter, D., & Sholler, D. (2016). Data science on the ground: Hype, criticism, and everyday work. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 67(10), 2309–2319. doi:10.1002/asi.23563
- Chouldechova, A. (2017). Fair prediction with disparate impact: A study of bias in recidivism prediction instruments. ArXiv:1703.00056 [Cs, Stat]. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1703.00056>
- Dieterich, W., Mendoza, C., Brennan, T., & Northpointe Inc,. (2016). COMPAS Risk Scales: Demonstrating Accuracy Equity and Predictive Parity Performance of the COMPAS Risk Scales in Broward County Research Department. Northpointe. Retrieved from
- Espeland, W. N., & Sauder, M. (2007). Rankings and reactivity: How public measures recreate social worlds. *American Journal of Sociology*, 113(1), 1–40.
- Faden, R. R., King, N. M. P., & Beauchamp, T. L. (1986). A history and theory of informed consent. New York: Oxford University Press.
- FTC (2011) Google was sanctioned in 2011 for revealing potentially sensitive social

connections in the first release of Google Buzz networks - <https://www.ftc.gov/enforcement/cases-proceedings/102-3136/google-inc-matter>

- Future of Privacy Forum. (2016, April 25). A Visual Guide to Practical Data De-Identification. <https://fpf.org/2016/04/25/a-visual-guide-to-practical-data-de-identification/>
- Gelman, A., & Palko, M. (2013). Ethics and Statistics: The War on Data. *CHANCE*, 26(1), 57–60.
- Hacking, I. (1991). How Should We Do the History of Statistics. In G. Burchell, C. Gordon, & P. Miller (Eds.), *The Foucault effect: studies in governmentality : with two lectures by and an interview with Michel Foucault* (pp. 181–195). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Halevy, A., Norvig, P., & Pereira, F. (2009). The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Data. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 24(2), 8–12. doi:[10.1109/MIS.2009.36](https://doi.org/10.1109/MIS.2009.36)
- Hand, D. J., & Henley, W. E. (1997). Statistical Classification Methods in Consumer Credit Scoring: A Review. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (Statistics in Society)*, 160(3), 523–541. doi:<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2983268>
- Jacobsen, K. L. (2010). Making design safe for citizens: A hidden history of humanitarian experimentation. *Citizenship Studies*, 14(1), 89–103. doi:[10.1080/13621020903466399](https://doi.org/10.1080/13621020903466399)
- Jasanoff, S. (2007). Technologies of humility. *Nature*, 450(7166), 33. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/450033a>
- Kelderman, Eric. Is Climbing the Research Rankings Worth the Price ? July 29, 2018 Special Report. Chronicle of Higher Education. <https://www.chronicle.com/specialreport/Is-Climbing-the-Research/212>
- Kircher 2015.Jun 3, 2015 Transgender Tinder users reported and banned - Business Insider. www.businessinsider.com/transgender-tinder-users-reported-and-banned-2015-6
- Kleinberg, J., Mullainathan, S., & Raghavan, M. (2017). Inherent Trade-Offs in the Fair Determination of Risk Scores. *Proceedings of Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science (ITCS)*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.05807>
- Kramer, A. D. I. (2012). The Spread of Emotion via Facebook. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 767–770).
- Larson, J., Mattu, S., Kirchner, L., & Angwin, J. (2016). How we analyzed the COMPAS recidivism algorithm. ProPublica.
- Markham, A. N. (2018). Afterword: Ethics as Impact—Moving From Error-Avoidance and Concept-Driven Models to a Future-Oriented Approach. *Social Media + Society*, 4(3), 2056305118784504. doi:[10.1177/2056305118784504](https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305118784504)
- McKemmish, S. (1996). Evidence of me.. *Archives and Manuscripts*, 24(1), 28. doi:[10.1080/00049670.1996.10755757](https://doi.org/10.1080/00049670.1996.10755757)
- Mellon, J. (2013). Where and When Can We Use Google Trends to Measure Issue Salience? *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 46(02), 280–290. doi:[10.1017/S1049096513000279](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096513000279)
- Menlo Report : Ethical Principles Guiding Information and Communication Technology Research https://www.caida.org/publications/papers/2012/menlo_report_actual_formatted/

- O’Neil, C. (2016, February 4). The Ethical Data Scientist. *Slate*. Retrieved from http://www.slate.com/articles/technology/future_tense/2016/02/how_to_bring_better_ethics_to_data_science.html
- Panger, G. (2016). Reassessing the Facebook experiment: critical thinking about the validity of Big Data research. *Information, Communication & Society*, 19(8), 1108–1126.
- Pewen, W. F. (2012, August 2). Protecting Our Civil Rights in the Era of Digital Health. *The Atlantic*. <http://www.theatlantic.com/health/archive/2012/08/protecting-our-civil-rights-in-the-era-of-digital-health/260343/>
- Powles, J. (2017, December 21). New York City’s Bold, Flawed Attempt to Make Algorithms Accountable. *The New Yorker*.
- ProPublica COMPAS Data <https://github.com/propublica/compas-analysis>
- Provost, F., & Fawcett, T. (2013). Data Science and its Relationship to Big Data and Data-Driven Decision Making. *Big Data*, 1(1), 51–59. doi:10.1089/big.2013.1508
- Rawls, J. (2000). *A theory of justice (Revised)*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Resnik, D. B., Elliott, K. C., Soranno, P. A., & Smith, E. M. (2017). Data-Intensive Science and Research Integrity. *Accountability in Research*, 24(6), 344–358. doi:10.1080/08989621.2017.1327813
- Seltzer, W., & Anderson, M. (2001). The dark side of numbers: The role of population data systems in human rights abuses. *Social Research*, 68(2), 481–513.
- Starr, P. (1992). Social Categories and Claims in the Liberal State. *Social Research*, 59(2), 263–295.
- United Nations . Universal Declaration of Human Rights . - <http://www.un.org/en/universal-declaration-human-rights/>
- Varian, H. R., & Choi, H. (2009). Predicting the Present with Google Trends. <http://googleresearch.blogspot.com/2009/04/predicting-present-with-google-trends.html>
- Wedell-Wedellsborg, T. (2017). Are you solving the right problems? *Harvard Business Review*, 90, 76–83. doi:10.1109/EMR.2016.7792409

Required Book

- Baase, S., & Henry, T. (2018). *A gift of fire social, legal, and ethical issues for computing technology* (5th ed.). ISBN: 978-0-13-461527-1